

European Federation of Airline Dispatchers Associations

Member of IFALDA

Request 3: Pro-active Flight Watch requirement

Status Quo NPA: no change to EU-OPS, i.e. flight watch requirement.

Proposal by EUFALDA

New

OR.OPS.100.AOC Operator Responsibilities

(b) The operator's system for exercising operational control and supervision shall include a pro-active flight watch and licensed Flight Operations Officers.

GM OR.OPS.100.AOCGEN(d) Operator responsibilities

**OPERATIONAL CONTROL** 

1 Operational control means the exercise by the operator's operational control personnel, in the interest of safety, of responsibility for the initiation, continuation, termination or diversion of a flight.

2 This does not imply a requirement for licensed-flight dispatchers or flight operations officers and for a full pro-active flight watch system.

A pro-active flight watch system is an aircraft situation display (ASD) providing actual and real-time information on the flight, including: altitude, fuel information, actual flight path compared to planned flight path, weather, air space limitations, and allowing permanent two-way communication between operational control personnel and flight crew.

3 If an operator employs Flight Operations Officers in conjunction with a method of operational control, Since flight crew should not self-dispatch, training for these operational control personnel other than the Pilot-in-Command should be based on relevant parts of ICAO Doc 7192 D3. This training should be described in the operations manual.

Justification

A pro-active flight watch system significantly improves the exercise of operational control. Changes and decisions whether to continue, terminate or divert a flight can be done well in advance of any hazardous situation of a flight. Reducing of enroute diversions.

1. Safety	<ul> <li>Enhanced awareness of the flight status of any aircraft in real-time, to avoid uncertainty about the location of a flight (e.g. AF447 was a loss of contact for 6 hrs before the crash became a certitude)</li> <li>shorter time of notice for SAR</li> <li>higher likelihood of finding survivors in time after an</li> </ul>
	accident/incident

Matthias Dürbeck President EUFALDA Welzheimer Str. 25 63791 Karlstein/Germany Email: matthias.duerbeck@eufalda.org

	<ul> <li>An effective Flight Dispatch System improves operational processes and information to the crew and fits into the philosophy of the Safety Management System (SMS).</li> <li>FOOs reduce flight crew workload and fatigue by providing up to date information and doing some tasks which the pilot will not have to do.</li> <li>A considerable additional resource is being added inflight for the handling of the flight and emergencies.</li> <li>In-flight and dynamic avoidance of areas of danger (weather, airspace etc.)</li> </ul>
2. Cost	for operators having not yet embraced a pro-active flight watch
	system.
	Technology (ICT)
	<ul> <li>Training of Personnel to qualify them for the new team</li> </ul>
	role
3. Social	NIL
4. Environment	reduce emissions by shortening flight times
5. Fairness	Passengers will not only be left under the impression that
	European operators follow flights pro-actively, but they can be
	assured that it will also be the case in reality.
6. Harmonization	Transport Canada- see Dryden commission for accident Air
	Ontario flight 1363 in 1989
	FAA
<ol><li>ICAO Alignment</li></ol>	ICAO Annex 6 compliant